



Nordic Alternative Investment Forum

Moving away from traditional asset allocation

26th September 2006

Dr. Ros Altmann



Disclaimer: my opinions, not advice!

- In compiling this presentation, the aim has been to ensure the correctness of the information given. However, it has not been possible to check all the material and no warranty, expressed or implied, can be given that all or any of the information provided is true and accurate. Dr. Ros Altmann shall not have any liability whatsoever in the event of any of the said information proving to be untrue or incorrect.
- All comments made in this presentation reflect the opinions of Dr Ros Altmann only. They are not intended, in any way, to reflect any advice or guidance to either individuals or organisations, nor are they intended to encourage anyone - individual or organisation - to make any investment, financial or other decision on the basis of any views, opinions or facts expressed.



Outline

- Problems of traditional asset allocation
- Downside risk control
- Diversification and alternative assets
- Innovative solutions to deliver desired objectives
- Conclusion



Traditional approach for long-term investors

- Traditional attitude: **Manage returns and TAKE risk**
 - Passive acceptance or even welcoming of risk
 - High 'expected' returns from risky equities

- Modern approach: **Manage returns AND MANAGE risk**
 - Active attention to risk control
 - Reduce risk of sharp losses



Problems of traditional approach

- 'Expected' returns, not same as *achieved* returns
- Relies on equity risk premium to outperform
- What are the investment objectives?
 - Inflation plus $x\%$?
 - Pension liabilities plus $y\%$?
- Avoiding big losses can be vital



Avoiding big losses important

- Falling markets are very damaging
- If market halves then doubles, only back where started
- If can protect from severe falls, required returns lower

	<u>£100 invested</u>	<u>£100 invested</u>	<u>£100 invested</u>
Yr. 1	- 30%	- 30%	- 3%
Yr. 2	+ 30%	+ 43%	+ 5%
End value	£91	£100	£101.85

- Is switching to bonds right way to control downside?



Do bonds reduce risk?

- Bonds reduce 'risk' as measured by volatility of return
 - But in exchange for much reduced upside potential
- Bond investments also still contain 'unrewarded' risk
 - E.g salary inflation, credit, longevity, duration
- So, switching to bonds does not ensure **all** risks reduced
- Need to control downside risk, without removing all the upside
 - reducing pension deficit requires *outperforming* liabilities



Relying just on equities too 'risky'

- Equity returns carry two kinds of risk
 1. volatility associated with equity risk premium – can hope to be rewarded for this
 2. risk of not keeping up with pension liabilities, as interest rates, inflation and mortality change - unrewarded risk
- Other risks caused damage as not been controlled
- Large losses can be fatal!



Modern thoughts on risk control

- Diversification of sources of investment return and risk
 - Low correlation, wide range of asset classes
- Seek diversified sources of return from inefficient markets
- Equities only one source of risk premium for good returns
 - Many different sources in inefficient global markets
- Why rely on just one source of beta and alpha?
- Diversification offers prospect of smoother returns
- Remember moves to international equities in 1980's?



Diversifying beta and alpha

- More than just equities and bonds
 - Alternative assets portfolio – hedge funds, private equity, commodities, property, currency
- High long-term returns, but selecting right manager vital
- Gives access to more sources of market inefficiency and manager skill
- But may need to protect against unanticipated losses
 - Derivatives can be more effective than bonds



Using swaps for downside insurance

- Swaps help managing interest rate and inflation exposure
- Swaps more liquid and flexible than bonds
- Can achieve specific durations to fit with liabilities
- Protect downside risk of assets relative to liabilities
- Leaves extra capital to invest in high return seeking assets



Not easy for investors...

- No one right answer – complexity, lot of governance time
- Derivatives can be useful – BUT essential for investors to understand them
- Experienced management of derivatives vital
 - Administration and collateral can be very complex
- Alternative assets can offer high long run returns
- Could asset managers offer structures for protection *plus* upside to help trustees with complexity?



Integrated solutions possible

- Investment approaches offering asymmetric returns
- E.g. invest £100m, capital and inflation protected
 - Downside protection against much worse deficit
- Plus high return investments – could be leveraged too
 - To help eliminate deficit/mortality risk over time
- No administrative hassle with derivative agreements if all done by one provider in an integrated solution
 - Essential to find reliable alpha generators for added returns – could expect 8-12% above inflation!



An example – 3 step strategy

- Invest £100m today
- Step 1: inflation swap - choose maturity e.g.
 - 15 year inflation swapped for 3% fixed – cost £55m
- Step 2: ensure you have the £55m to pay for swap in 15 years – 15 year bond yield implies £80m needed today
- Step 3: with £20m spare capital left today, invest in high return seeking assets to generate extra returns needed
 - Exchange real yield for possibility of much more

Step 1: Inflation swap

2006

**INVESTORS AGREE TO
PAY FIXED 3%PA FOR
15 YRS -
NO MONEY PAID NOW**

2021 MATURITY

**INVESTORS MUST PAY
£55m ON MATURITY**

**BANK AGREES TO PAY
RPI FOR NEXT 15 YEARS
TO INVESTORS**

**BANK MUST PAY
INVESTORS THE RPI
INCREASE ON £100m**

Step 2: Need £155m in 2021

2006

BANK REQUIRES £80m NOW TO PAY £155m IN 15 YEARS USING 15 YEAR BOND YIELD

ZERO COUPON BOND STRUCTURE MEANS ALL COUPONS PAID ONLY ON MATURITY

£20m SPARE CASH LEFT NOW TO INVEST FOR HIGH RETURN

2021 MATURITY

RECEIVE £155m ON MATURITY TO PAY FOR INFLATION SWAP

RECEIVE INFLATION INCREASE ON £100m FROM MATURING SWAP

HAVE INSURED £100m AGAINST INFLATION RISK

Step 3: Invest £20m to generate high returns

2006

INVEST £20m IN HIGH EXPECTED RETURN PORTFOLIO

LIMITED LIABILITY STRUCTURE SO CAN'T LOSE MORE THAN £20m

2021 MATURITY

RECEIVE INVESTMENT RETURNS GENERATED ON £20m INVESTMENT TO OFFSET DEFICIT AND/OR FUND MORTALITY RISES

PLUS THE VALUE OF THE INFLATION SWAP TO COVER INFLATION RISK ON £100m



Expected performance

- Investors receive:
 1. Initial £100m
 2. Plus inflation increase
 3. Plus £20m
 4. Plus increase in value of £20m invested in high return portfolio – extra 8-12% pa

- Need to ensure this outperforms the real yield on index-linked gilts after fees



Worst case scenario

- £20m high-return asset portfolio becomes worthless
 - Using limited liability structure caps downside
 - Have given up the real yield on index-linked gilts

On maturity, investors receive capital and inflation protection
i.e. would get back:

1. Initial £100m
2. Plus Ipi



Conclusion

- Importance of diversification and low correlation
- New investment approaches may provide better chance of paying pensions than relying on just bonds or equities
- But no one right solution – it's not easy!
- Using derivatives for downside protection
- Plus alternative assets for asymmetric return profile
- More reliable returns targeting liabilities through diversification